

**MR3831003** 68Q87 05D05

**Filmus, Yuval** (IL-TECH-C); **Kindler, Guy** (IL-HEBR-CSE);

**Mossel, Elchanan** (1-MIT-DSS); **Wimmer, Karl** (1-DUQ-C)

**Invariance principle on the slice.** (English summary)

*ACM Trans. Comput. Theory* **10** (2018), no. 3, Art. 11, 37 pp.

The Berry-Esseen theorem gives bounds on the speed of convergence of a sum  $\sum_i X_i$  to the corresponding Gaussian distribution. Convergence occurs as long as none of the summands  $X_i$  are too “prominent”. E. Mossel, R. O’Donnell and K. Oleszkiewicz [Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 295–341; [MR2630040](#)] proved the non-linear *invariance principle*, an analog of the Berry-Esseen theorem for low-degree polynomials:

Given a low-degree polynomial  $f$  on  $n$  variables in which none of the variables is too prominent (technically,  $f$  has low *influences*), the invariance principle states that the distribution of  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  is similar as long as (1) each of the vectors  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  consists of independent coordinates, (2) the distributions of  $X_i, Y_i$  have matching first and second moments, and (3) the variables  $X_i, Y_i$  are hypercontractive.

In particular, the result establishes that if  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a multilinear low-degree polynomial with low influences, then the distribution of  $f(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)$  is close (in various senses) to the distribution of  $f(\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_i$  are independent Bernoulli random variables and  $\mathcal{G}_i$  are independent standard Gaussians.

The invariance principle comes up in the context of proving the *Majority is the Stablest* conjecture (which shows that the Goemans-Williamson algorithm for MAX-CUT is optimal under the Unique Games Conjecture). In general, the principle allows the analysis of a function on the Boolean cube (corresponding to the  $X_i$ ) by analyzing its counterpart in Gaussian space (corresponding to the  $Y_i$ ), in which geometric methods can be applied.

Here the authors prove the invariance principle for the distribution over  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  which is uniform over the *slice*

$$\binom{[n]}{k} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n = k\}.$$

If  $f$  is a low-degree function on  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  having low influences, then the distributions of  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  are close, where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is the uniform distribution on  $\binom{[n]}{k}$ , and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are either independent Bernoulli variables with expectation  $k/n$ , or independent Gaussians with the same mean and variance.

This setting arises naturally in hardness of approximation and in extremal combinatorics (the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem and its many extensions).

The Majority is Stablest conjecture and Bourgain’s tail bound can be generalized to this setting. Also, using Bourgain’s tail bound, the authors prove an analog of the Kindler-Safra theorem, which states that if a Boolean function is close to a function of constant degree, then it is close to a junta. Finally, as a corollary of the new version of the Kindler-Safra theorem, the authors prove a stability version of the  $t$ -intersecting Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. E. Friedgut [Combinatorica **28** (2008), no. 5, 503–528; [MR2501247](#)] showed that for all  $\lambda, \zeta > 0$  there exists  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\lambda, \zeta) > 0$  such that for all  $k, n$  satisfying

$$\lambda < \frac{k}{n} < \frac{1}{t+1} - \zeta,$$

a  $t$ -intersecting family in  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  of almost maximal size  $(1 - \epsilon)\binom{n-t}{k-t}$  is close to an optimal family (a  $t$ -star). The authors extend this result to the regime  $k/n \approx 1/(t + 1)$ . (When  $k/n > 1/(t + 1)$ ,  $t$ -stars are no longer optimal.)

The proof of the main result combines algebraic, geometric, and analytic ideas. A coupling argument, which crucially relies on properties of harmonic functions, shows that the distribution of a low-degree, low-influence harmonic function is approximately invariant moving from the original slice to nearby slices. Taken together, these slices form a thin layer around the original slice, on which the function has roughly the same distribution as on the original slice. The classical invariance principle implies that the distribution of the given function on the layer is close to its distribution on the Gaussian counterpart of the layer, which turns out to be identical to its distribution on all of Gaussian space, completing the proof.

Y. Filmus and Mossel [in *31st Conference on Computational Complexity*, Art. No. 16, 13 pp., LIPIcs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform., 50, Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2016; [MR3540817](#)] have shown that a special case of the main result in the paper can be obtained under more relaxed assumptions on the polynomials of interest. The main result in that paper (which subsumes the main result of this article) uses completely different proof techniques, in particular without recourse to Gaussian spaces.

*Michele Zito*

---

## References

1. Rudolf Ahlswede and Levon H. Khachatrian. 1997. The complete intersection theorem for systems of finite sets. *Eur. J. Comb.* 18, 2 (1997), 125–136. [MR1429238](#)
2. Rudolf Ahlswede and Levon H. Khachatrian. 1998. The diametric theorem in hamming spaces—Optimal anticodes. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 20, 4 (1998), 429–449. [MR1612850](#)
3. Rudolf Ahlswede and Levon H. Khachatrian. 1999. A pushing-pulling method: New proofs of intersection theorems. *Combinatorica* 19, 1 (1999), 1–15. [MR1722359](#)
4. Eiichi Bannai and Tatsuro Ito. 1984. *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*. Benjamin/Cummings Pub. Co. [MR0882540](#)
5. C. Borell. 1985. Geometric bounds on the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck velocity process. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 70, 1 (1985), 1–13. [MR0795785](#)
6. Roei David, Irit Dinur, Elazar Goldenberg, Guy Kindler, and Igor Shinkar. 2015. Direct sum testing. In *ITCS 2015*. [MR3681379](#)
7. Anindya De, Elchanan Mossel, and Joe Neeman. 2013. Majority is stablest: Discrete and SoS. In *45th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. 477–486. [MR3210809](#)
8. Irit Dinur and Shmuel Safra. 2005. On the hardness of approximating minimum vertex cover. *Ann. Math.* 162, 1 (2005), 439–485. [MR2178966](#)
9. Charles F. Dunkl. 1976. A Krawtchouk polynomial addition theorem and wreath products of symmetric groups. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 25 (1976), 335–358. [MR0407346](#)
10. Charles F. Dunkl. 1979. Orthogonal functions on some permutation groups. In *Relations Between Combinatorics and Other Parts of Mathematics (Proc. Symp. Pure Math.)*, Vol. 34. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 129–147. [MR0525324](#)
11. David Ellis, Nathan Keller, and Noam Lifshitz. 2016. Stability for the complete intersection theorem, and the forbidden intersection problem of Erdős and Sós. *arXiv:1604.06135*.
12. David Ellis, Nathan Keller, and Noam Lifshitz. 2016. Stability versions of Erdős–Ko–Rado type theorems, via Isoperimetry. *arXiv:1604.02160*. [MR4022717](#)
13. Paul Erdős, Chao Ko, and Richard Rado. 1961. Intersection theorems for systems

- of finite sets. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 12, 2 (1961), 313–320. [MR0140419](#)
14. Yuval Filmus. 2013. *Spectral Methods in Extremal Combinatorics*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Toronto.
  15. Yuval Filmus. 2016. An orthogonal basis for functions over a slice of the boolean hypercube. *Elec. J. Comb.* 23, 1 (2016), P1.23. [MR3484728](#)
  16. Yuval Filmus and Ferdinand Ihringer. 2018. Boolean constant degree functions on the slice are juntas. *CoRR* abs/1801.06338 (2018). arxiv:1801.06338 <http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.06338> [MR3990024](#)
  17. Péter Frankl. 1987. Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem with conditions on the maximal degree. *J. Comb. Theory A* 46 (1987), 252–263. [MR0914659](#)
  18. Peter Frankl, Sang June Lee, Mark Siggers, and Norihide Tokushige. 2014. An Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for cross- $t$  - intersecting families. *J. Combin. Th., Ser. A* 128 (2014), 207–249. [MR3265924](#)
  19. Peter Frankl and Norihide Tokushige. 1992. Some best-possible inequalities concerning cross-intersecting families. *J. Combin. Th., Ser. A* 61 (1992), 87–97. [MR1178386](#)
  20. Ehud Friedgut. 2008. On the measure of intersecting families, uniqueness and stability. *Combinatorica* 28, 5 (2008), 503–528. [MR2501247](#)
  21. Marcus Isaksson and Elchanan Mossel. 2012. Maximally stable Gaussian partitions with discrete applications. *Israel J. Math.* 189, 1 (2012), 347–396. [MR2931402](#)
  22. Nathan Keller and Ohad Klein. 2017. Kindler–Safera theorem for the slice. In Preparation.
  23. Subhash Khot. 2010. Inapproximability of NP-complete problems, discrete Fourier analysis, and geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*. [MR2827989](#)
  24. Guy Kindler. 2002. *Property Testing, PCP and Juntas*. Ph.D. dissertation. Tel-Aviv University.
  25. Guy Kindler, Naomi Kirshner, and Ryan O’Donnell. 2014. Gaussian noise sensitivity and Fourier tails. <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~odonnell/papers/gaussian-noise-sensitivity.pdf>. [MR3026322](#)
  26. Guy Kindler and Shmuel Safra. 2004. Noise-resistant Boolean functions are juntas. Unpublished manuscript.
  27. Tzong-Yau Lee and Horng-Tzer Yau. 1998. Logarithmic Sobolev inequality for some models of random walks. *Ann. Prob.* 26, 4 (1998), 1855–1873. [MR1675008](#)
  28. László Lovász. 1979. On the Shannon capacity of a graph. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 25 (1979), 1–7. [MR0514926](#)
  29. Elchanan Mossel and Yuval Filmus. 2016. Harmonicity and invariance on slices of the Boolean cube. In *31st Conference on Computational Complexity*. [MR3540817](#)
  30. Elchanan Mossel and Yuval Filmus. 2017. Harmonicity and Invariance on Slices of the Boolean Cube. Submitted. [MR3540817](#)
  31. Elchanan Mossel, Ryan O’Donnell, and Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz. 2010. Noise stability of functions with low influences: Invariance and optimality. *Ann. Math.* 171 (2010), 295–341. [MR2630040](#)
  32. Noam Nisan and Mario Szegedy. 1994. On the degree of Boolean functions as real polynomials. *Comput. Complexity* 4, 4 (1994), 301–313. [MR1313531](#)
  33. Ryan O’Donnell. 2014. *Analysis of Boolean Functions*. Cambridge University Press. [MR3443800](#)
  34. Li Qiu and Xingzhi Zhan. 2007. On the span of Hadamard products of vectors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 422 (2007), 304–307. [MR2299015](#)
  35. Murali K. Srinivasan. 2011. Symmetric chains, Gelfand–Tsetlin chains, and the Terwilliger algebra of the binary Hamming scheme. *J. Algebr. Comb.* 34, 2 (2011), 301–322. [MR2811151](#)

36. Hajime Tanaka. 2009. A note on the span of Hadamard products of vectors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009), 865–867. [MR2473193](#)
37. Richard M. Wilson. 1984. The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. *Combinatorica* 4 (1984), 247–257. [MR0771733](#)
38. Karl Wimmer. 2014. Low influence functions over slices of the Boolean hypercube depend on few coordinates. In *CCC*. [MR3281002](#)

*Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.*

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2021